STATE OF ARIZONA

OCT 1 0 2001

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

11

10

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21.

22

23

24

25

STATE OF ARIZONA

DEPT. OF INSURANCE

DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

In the Matter of: TRAVELERS INDEMNITY COMPANY OF ILLINOIS.

NAIC #25674

Respondent

Docket No.: 01A-226-INS

CONSENT ORDER

Examiners for the Department of Insurance (the "Department") conducted a market conduct examination of Travelers Indemnity Company of Illinois (TIL). The Report of Examination of the Market Conduct Affairs of TIL alleges that TIL violated A.R.S. §§20-263, 20-357, 20-385, 20-398, 20-400.01, 20-448, 20-1113, 20-1120, 20-1631, 20-1632, 20-1652, 20-1653, 20-1656, 20-1677, and A.A.C. R20-6-801, and prior Consent Order # 7748, filed May 28, 1992 and Defense Within Limits, Docket Order # 6219, filed February 13, 1987 and Unfiled Forms Docket # 6618.

TIL wishes to resolve this matter without formal adjudicative proceedings, admits that the following Findings of Fact are true, and consents to the entry of the following Conclusions of Law and Order

FINDINGS OF FACT

- TIL is authorized to transact property and casualty insurance pursuant to 1. a Certificate of Authority issued by the Director.
- 2. The Examiners were authorized by the Director to conduct a market conduct examination of TIL. The on-site examination covered the time period from January 1994 to July 1998 and was concluded on June 8, 1998. Based on their

findings, the Examiners prepared the "Report of Examination of the Market Conduct Affairs of Travelers Indemnity Company of Illinois" dated June 8, 1998.

3. The Department previously conducted a market conduct examination of TIL. The on-site examination was concluded as of November 8, 1991. As a result, a Consent Order, Docket # 7748, was filed by the Director on May 28, 1992. The Consent Order stated in part as follows:

Respondents shall cease and desist from failing to comply with orders of the Director, from canceling personal automobile policies which have been in effect for at least sixty (60) days for reasons other than those permitted by A.R.S. § 20-1631(B), not complying with the proper notice of refund of unearned premium and not providing 30 days to remedy unacceptable premise conditions.

- 4. The Examiners reviewed 64 personal automobile cancellations and 187 personal automobile policies issued by the Company during the time frame of the examination and found as follows:
- a. TIL cancelled seven personal automobile policies after the first 60-day underwriting period.
 - b. TIL failed to evidence its proof of mailing on six policies.
- c. TIL failed to provide the reason for the cancellation on two policies.
- d. TIL failed to comply with the proper notice of refund of unearned premiums on two policies.
 - e. TIL surcharged five policies for non-fault accidents.
 - f. TIL failed to use filed rates on two policies.
- 5. The Examiners reviewed 216 homeowner cancellation/non-renewal notices issued by the Company during the time frame of the examination and found as follows:

1		f.	TIL applied an unfiled package modifier on one policy.				
2		g.	TIA failed to use filed deductible factors on six policies.				
3		h.	TIL failed to apply its transition rule when insureds were eligible				
4	on 18 polici	es.					
5		i	TIL failed to use filed rates (other than "A" rates) on 11 policies.				
6		j.	TIL use credits that were different than those generated by the				
7	Company's	manda	tory auto grid analysis documentation on four policies.				
8		k.	TIL failed to include documentation or failed to adequately				
9	document th	ne appl	ication of the schedule rating or BDM credit/debits in the premium				
10	determination of 17 policies.						
11.		L.	TIL used schedule/BDM credits/debits that were different than				
12	those documented on two policies.						
13		m.	TIL failed to provide the documentation of the experience				
14	modification	modification calculation used on 20 policies.					
15.		n.	TIL failed to retain or produce premium development worksheets				
16	for premium	verifica	ation and compliance on 16 policies.				
17		0.	TIL exceeded its total filed schedule rating plan maximums on 18				
18	policies.						
19		p.	TIL applied its discretionary credits in ways that were in conflict				
20.	with the filed schedule rating plan on six policies.						
21		q.···	TIL failed to apply experience rating on four eligible policies.				
22	100.00	r.	TIL applied experience rating on four policies that were not				
23	eligible for th	e appli	cation of the plan.				
24		S.	TIL failed to use its filed Premium Payment Plan on 19 policies.				
25							

1		t.	TIL failed to obtain the mandatory underwriting survey on 27				
2	policies.						
3		u.	TIL failed to obtain the mandatory rating grid matrix survey on 27				
4	policies.						
5	9.	The E	xaminers reviewed 21 commercial package policies issued during				
6	the time fra	ame of t	the examination and found as follows:				
7		a.	TIL failed to consider the schedule rating plan on two policies.				
8		b.	TIL failed to use the correct entry level for the calculation of				
9	experience r	ating o	n two policies.				
10		C.	TIL did not adhere to its rate filings by reducing its premium to				
11.	meet its competition on two policies.						
2		d.	TIL failed to apply the correct package modifier on five policies.				
3		е.	TIL failed to use the correct increase limit factor on two policies.				
4		f.	TIL failed to use filed rates on two policies.				
5		g,	TIL used an "A" rate different than the filed rate on three policies.				
6	<u> </u>	h.	TIL failed to develop the composite rates according to its filing on				
7	two policies.						
8	*** 0	i.	TIL failed to include documentation for the application of				
9	schedule/BD	M mod	ifications used in the premium determination of nine policies.				
20	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	j	TIL failed to provide adequate documentation of schedule/BDM				
1	credit/debits	on two	policies.				
2	***	k.	TIL used a different schedule/BDM modification than the one				
3	documented	on fou	policies.				
4	•	I.	TIL failed to evidence the calculation of the experience				
5	modification	used.o	n 11 policies.				
- 1							

1		m.	TIL failed to document the development of its multiple location				
2	premium dis	spersio	a credits on two policies.				
3		n.	TIL failed to document and justify expense reduction credits on				
4	eight policie	S.					
5		0.	TIL failed to retain or produce premium development worksheets				
6	for premium	verifica	ation and compliance on fifteen policies.				
7		p.	TIL failed to provide the statutory 60-day notice of premium				
8	increase or	change	on the renewal of two policies.				
9		q.	TIL issued two renewal policies in a lower rated company without				
10	evidence of	justifica	tion for the change.				
11.		r.	TIL exceeded its total filed plan maximums on five policies.				
12		S.	TIL applied its discretionary credits in ways that were in conflict				
13	with the filed schedule rating plan on five policies.						
14	MANUAL CONTRACTOR	t.	TIL failed to apply experience rating on four policies that were				
15	eligible.						
16		u	TIL applied experience rating on three policies that were not				
17	eligible.						
18		V.	TIL failed to use its filed Premium Payment Plan on 14 policies.				
19		W.	TIL applied unfiled modifications on seven policies.				
20		X.	TIL failed to rate for all exposures on seven policies.				
21		y .	TIL applied unfiled increase limit factors on nine policies.				
22	10.	The E	xaminers reviewed 26 highly protected risk (HPR) property files				
23	that were is	suedi	n conjunction with national accounts written by TIL for the time				
24	frame of the	exami	nation and found as follows:				
25							

	TIL failed to follow its own Loss Rating Program on three policies
	(one auto policy and two general liability policies).
3	j. TIL failed to retain adequate documentation of premium
4	development to determine compliance on 16 policies (six auto, three garage and six
5	general liability policies).
6	12. The Examiners reviewed 20 policies from the Company's Specialty
7	Insurance Division (SID) issued by TIL during the time frame of the examination and
8	found as follows:
9	a. TIL used an unapproved policy form excluding defense coverage
10	on three general liability policies.
11.	b. TIL used an unfiled Loss Rating Plan on four general liability
12	policies.
13	C. TIL charged unfiled minimum correct
14	c. TIL charged unfiled minimum earned premiums on three general liability policies.
15	
16	d. TIL used unfiled driver-risk modifications on three general liability
17	policies.
18	e. TIL used an unfiled "Retained Amount General Liability" Form
19	with three policies.
20	f. TIL attached an unfiled manuscript endorsement on three general
21	liability policies that stated that the policy would become "void" if the insured failed to
22	maintain a contract for claims adjustments.
23	g. TIL failed to retain adequate rate development documentation to
24	enable verification of compliance on three general liability policies.
25	

21.

13. TIL's failure to include all appropriate air quality and city sales taxes with automobile total loss claims resulted in 72 insureds being underpaid a total of \$209. TIL's failure to follow its filed rates or surcharging for non-fault accidents on personal automobile coverage resulted in three insureds being overcharged a total of \$1,290. TIL's failure to follow its filed rates on rules on commercial automobile and package policies and national account policies resulted in 35 insureds being overcharged a total of \$280,923. All refunds for commercial automobile, package policies and national account policies have been paid.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. TIL violated A.R.S. §20-1631(D) and Docket #7748, the 1992 Consent Order, by canceling personal automobile policies after 60 days for reasons not allowed by statute.
- 2. TIL violated A.R.S. §20-1632(A) by failing to evidence proof of mailing on personal automobile policy cancellations and not providing reasons for the cancellations.
- 3. TIL violated A.R.S. §20-1632(A) and Docket #7748, the 1992 Consent Order, in not providing the proper notice of refund and/or unearned premiums on cancelled policies.
 - 4. TIL violated A.R.S. §20-263 by surcharging for non-fault accidents.
 - 5. TIL violated A.R.S. §20-385 by not using filed rates.
- 6. TIL violated A.R.S. §20-1652(A) by canceling policies after 60 days for reasons not allowed by statute.
- 7. TIL violated A.R.S. §20-1652(B) by failing to provide 30 days to remedy unacceptable premises conditions.

1.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 9. TIL violated A.R.S. §20-1656 by failing to evidence proof of mailing on homeowner policies.
- 10. TIL violation A.A.C. R20-6-801(H)(1)(b) by failing to include the airquality tax on first party total loss claims.
- 11. TIL violated A.R.S. §20-1120(B) by failing to issue policies within 90 days of the policy effective date.
- TIL violated A.R.S. §20-400.01(A) by applying the schedule rating plan 12. or the company's BDM when the risks were not eligible; by using different experience modifications than the ones calculated; by not using the correct experience rating plan; by not using the correct loss cost entry level in the calculation of the experience modification, by applying a package modification when the policy was not eligible, by using deductible factors other than the filed ones; by not applying transition rule was risks was eligible; by not using file rates (other than "A" rates); by applying different factors than those documented on the auto grid; by not considering the application of the schedule rating plan to risks that were eligible; by reducing premium in violation of its rate filings to meet the competition's quote; by not using correct package modifiers; by not using the correct increase limit factors; by using "A" rates that were different than the filed rates; by not developing the composite rates according to filings; by failing to follow the filed HPR filing; by failing to follow the company's loss rating program; by applying discretionary credits that conflicted with the filed schedule rating plan; and by failing to charge for all known exposures.
- 13. TIL violated A.R.S. §20-400.01(B) by failing to provide any documentation or by providing inadequate documentation of the schedule rating/BDM

20. 21.

credit/debit factors; by using schedule/BDM credits/debits that were different than the ones documented; by not providing documentation of the experience calculation used; by failing to document the development of the multiple premium dispersion credit.

- 14. TIL violated A.R.S. §§20-400.01(B) and 20-448(C) by applying undocumented expense reductions.
- 15. TIL violated A.R.S. §20-400.01(D) by failing to retain premium development documentation in order to verify compliance.
- 16. TIL violated A.R.S. §20-1677 by not providing the insured 60 days notice of premium increase.
- 17. TIL violated A.R.S. §§20-385(A), 20-400.01(A) and 20-448(C) by changing a policyholder to a lower rated company on renewal without justification.
- 18. TIL violated A.R.S. §§20-400.01(A) and 20-448(C) by exceeding the range of permitted schedule, BDM credit/debits and plan levels; by not applying experience rating when policies were eligible; by applying experience rating when risks were not eligible; by using a premium payment plan other than the one filed; by using unfiled modifications; by not rating for all coverages or exposures; by using unfiled increase limit factors; by failing to obtain the mandatory underwriting survey and failing to obtain the mandatory underwriting grid matrix.
- 19. TIL violated A.R.S. §20-1113(B)(7) by not attaching the Arizona cancellation endorsement.
- 20. TIL violated A.R.S. §20-1113(B) by issuing a policy that did not contain policy premiums, composite rates and the exposures being covered.
- 21. TIL violated A.R.S. §20-357(E) by failing to comply with NCCI rules for obtaining the insured's election of the retrospective rating components.

- TIL violated A.R.S. §§20-357(E) and 20-400.01(A) by failing to calculate the retro based on the standard premium; by failing to calculate the retro adjustments during the timeframe specified by NCCI Plan rules; by failing to use filed state specific Large Deductible rate pages and tables; by failing to use the correct policy retro factors when calculating the annual retro adjustments.
- 23. TIL violated A.R.S. §§20-385(A) and 20-400.01(A) by using an unfiled Rating/Loss Rating Plan; by using unfiled minimum premiums and by using unfiled driver risk modifications.
- 24. TIL violated prior Docket Order #6219 by using an unapproved form which excluded defense coverage and Docket Order #6618 by not making its annual forms filing.
- 25. TIL violated A.R.S. §20-398(A) by attaching an unfiled manuscript endorsement that would void the policy contract if the insured failed to maintain a contract for claims adjustment.
- 26. Grounds exist for the entry of the following Order in accordance with A.R.S. §§ 20-220, 20-397, 20-400.03 and 20-456.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

- TIL shall cease and desist from:
- a. Canceling personal automobile and homeowner policies after the 60-days underwriting period has passed, other than as permitted by law.
- b. Not providing the reasons for the cancellations on personal automobile policies.
- c. Not complying with the proper notice of refund of unearned premium on personal automobile cancellation notices.

1.		d.	Not providing the reason for nonrenewal on homeowner policies.				
2		е.	Failing to maintain evidence of proof of mailing on homeowner				
3	policy cance	llations					
4		f.	Surcharging for non-fault accidents on personal automobile				
5	policies.						
6		g.	Not providing 30 days to remedy unacceptable premises				
7	conditions b	efore ca	anceling homeowner policies.				
8		h.	Not including the air quality tax amounts in the final settlement of				
9	automobile first party total losses.						
10	-	i	Failing to issue policies within 90 days of the policy effective date.				
11		j.	Applying schedule or BDM credits and exceeding plan maximums				
12	on commercial auto and package policies when the risks are not eligible.						
13		k.	Applying experience credits when risks are not eligible and not				
14	applying exp	erience	when risks are eligible.				
15		L	Using incorrect experience rating plans				
16		m.	Using incorrect loss cost entry levels when calculating experience				
17	modifications	3.					
18		n.	Not obtaining and using the mandatory auto surveys and grid				
19	matrix credits developed in the rating of Ultra-PAC risks.						
20		Ο.	Applying package modifiers to ineligible commercial automobile				
21	policies.						
22		p.	Applying undocumented expense reduction and unfiled modifiers				
23	on package _l	policies					
24	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	q	Changing companies at renewal to take advantage of lower				
25	premiums wi	thout ju	stification of the reason for the change.				
- 1							

1		r.	Using unfiled rates, rating plans, modifications, deductible factors,
2	increase lim	nit fact	ors and forms, when those are required to be filed with the
3	Department.		
4		S.	Not applying transition rules when risks are eligible.
5		t	Applying different factors than those documented on the auto grid.
6		u.	Not considering the application of the schedule rating plan to risks
7	that are eligi	ble.	
8	2.2.5	V.	Reducing premium in violation of filed rates in order to meet the
9	competition's	s quote	
10		W.	Not using correct package modifiers.
11.	.	X .	Not using correct increase limit factors.
12		y.	Not developing composite rates according to filings.
13		Z.	Failing to follow the filed HPR filing.
14		aa.	Failing to follow the Company's loss rating program.
15.		bb.	Failing to charge for all known exposures.
16		ce.	Failing to provide any documentation or providing inadequate
17	documentation	on of th	e schedule rating/BDM credit/debit factors.
18		dd.	Using schedule/BDM credits/debits that are different than the
19	ones docume	ented.	
20.	***	ee.	Not providing documentation of the experience calculation used.
21	110	ff.	Failing to document the development of the multiple premium
22	dispersion cr	edit.	
23	•••	gg.	Not providing the insured 60 days notice of premium increases.
24		hh.	Not attaching the Arizona cancellation endorsement.
25			
r	I		

25.

- ii. Issuing policies that do not contain policy premiums, composite rates and the exposures being covered.
 - Not making required annual forms filings.
- kk. Attaching a manuscript endorsement that would void the policy if the insured failed to maintain a contract for claims adjustment.
- 2. Within 90 days of the filed date of this Order, TIA shall submit to the Arizona Department of Insurance, for approval, evidence that corrections have been implemented and communicated to the appropriate personnel regarding all of the items mentioned in Paragraph 1 of the Order section of this Consent Order. Evidence of corrective action and communication thereof includes, but is not limited to, memos, bulletins, E-mails, correspondence, procedures manuals, print screens and training materials.
- 3. Within 90 days of the filed date of this Order, TIL shall pay the unpaid amounts listed in Exhibit A of this Order, plus interest. Interest shall be calculated at the rate of 10% per annum from the date the proof of loss was received to the date of payment. Each payment shall include a letter to the insured in a form previously approved by the Director. A list of payments, giving the name and address of each party paid, the amount of interest paid, and the date of payment, shall be provided to the Department within 90 days of the filed date of this Order.
- 4. The Department shall be permitted, through authorized representatives, to verify that TIL has complied with all provisions of this Order.
- 5. TIL shall pay a civil penalty of \$39,000 to the Director for remission to the State Treasurer for deposit in the State General Fund in accordance with A.R.S. \$20-220. The civil penalty shall be provided to the Market Conduct Examination Section of the Department prior to the filing of this Order.

1.	
2	
3	I
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	-
9	
10	
11	-
12	-
13	-
14	
15	-
16	
17	H
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	H

> Charles R. Cohen Director of Insurance

EXHIBIT A

Personal Automobile Overcharges

POLICY #	Amount Due
	Insured
9214172531011	\$228
9216453201011	\$513
9202237141011	\$549
Total	\$1,290

1st Party Total Claims Tax Refund

CLAIM#	Amount Due Insured
GUQ0973	\$70.59
GUQ4065	\$19.02
Total	\$ 89.61

25.

CONSENT TO ORDER

- 1. Travelers Indemnity Company of Illinois has reviewed the foregoing Order.
- 2. Travelers Indemnity Company of Illinois admits the jurisdiction of the Director of Insurance, State of Arizona, admits the foregoing Findings of Fact, and consents to the entry of the Conclusions of Law and Order.
- 3. Travelers Indemnity Company of Illinois is aware of its right to a hearing, at which it may be represented by counsel, present evidence and cross-examine witnesses. Travelers Indemnity Company of Illinois irrevocably waives the right to such notice and hearing and to any court appeals related to this Order.
- 4. Travelers Indemnity Company of Illinois states that no promise of any kind or nature whatsoever was made to it to induce it to enter into this Consent Order and that it has entered into this Consent Order voluntarily.
- 5. Travelers Indemnity Company of Illinois acknowledges that the acceptance of this Order by the Director of the Arizona Department of Insurance is solely for the purpose of settling this matter and does not preclude any other agency or officer of this state or its subdivisions or any other person from instituting proceedings, whether civil, criminal, or administrative, as may be appropriate now or in the future.

	6. John R. Nealon			who	holds	the	office	of
	Assistant Secretary	of.	Travelers Indemnity	Com	pany	of	Illinois	is
au	thorized to enter into this Orde	er fo	it and on its behalf.					

September 27, 2001	By John R. Neal
(Date)	(Company)

21.

1	COPY of the foregoing	mailed/delivered	
	this 10th day of		, 2001, to:
2			,,
3	Carab Paglov		
4	Sarah Begley Deputy Director		
5	Mary Butterfield Assistant Director		
6	Consumer Affairs Divisi Paul J. Hogan	on	
7	Chief Market Conduct E Market Conduct Section		
8	Deloris E. Williamson Assistant Director	L	
9	Rates & Regulations Di Steve Ferguson	vision	
10	Assistant Director	_	
11	Financial Affairs Division Alexandra Shafer	A-	
12	Assistant Director Life and Health Division		
13	Nancy House Chief Financial Examine	er-	
14	Terry L. Cooper Fraud Unit Chief		
15			
16	DEPARTMENT OF INSU 2910 North 44th Street, S		
17	Phoenix, AZ 85018	Second Floor	
18			
19	Travelers Indemnity Com Jon Brynga, Market Cond	pany of Illinois duct Officer	
20	Commercial Lines Opera One Tower Square	tions, 5GS	
21	Hartford, CT 06183	****	
22		2	
23	Jervey Sur	lon	
24	0		
25			